IHRAAM REPORT
ON INDIGENOUS NATIONS
ENTRAPPED IN BC/CANADA

for
Prof. S. James Anaya
Special Rapporteur on the
Rights of Indigenous Peoples

Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights
Palais Wilson
1211 Geneva 10, Switzerland

Vancouver, BC, Canada
October 10, 2013

Submitted by:
Diana Kly, Chair, IHRAAM
Kerry Henselwood, IHRAAM Consultant

IHRAAM
International Human Rights Association of American Minorities

An International NGO in Consultative Status with the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations
TABLE OF CONTENTS

OVERVIEW OF PRESENTATION

THE ONGOING ASSAULT ON INDIGENOUS PEOPLES AND THE NEED FOR REMEDY

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

APPENDIX I: The International Illegality of Canada’s Exercise of Jurisdiction over Indigenous Nations
   Section A: Canada’s Jurisdiction Over Non-Treaty Indigenous Nations
   Section B: Pitawanakwat extradition case, Oregon County, USA, 2000
   Section C: Bruce Clark to White Bear re jurisdiction argument and disbarment
   Section D: Ts’peten Reasons SCBC – Jurisdiction Argument Dismissed

APPENDIX II: Encroachment and Despoliation
   Section A: A Post-colonial timeline of the Pacific salmon fishery / Kerry Coast
   Section B: Sacred sites and land heritage / Richard Mark Joseph, Shawe7
              Letter to Mt. Currie Band Council, Lands and Resources Dept /
              Alvin Nelson, Wemenqen
   Section C: Whistle Across, A Village Plundered Statimc Runner
   Section D: The Right to Food / Intervention, May 2012, Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues
              Investigating Traditional Foods, Nutritional Values / The St’at’imc Runner
   Section E: Species at Risk within Lillooet River Watershed /
   Section F: Enforced Nono-development, IHRAAM Intervention, February 2012, UN Human Rights Council

APPENDIX III: A Legacy of Resistance
   Section A: A Historical Review of Resistance by the Lil’wat, 1911-1987
   Section B: Historical legal action taken by Lil’wat for peace and justice,
              including Lil’wat Band Council Resolution November 9, 1989
   Section C: Intervention at the UN Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues / Pau Tuc la Cimc,
              James Louie
   Section D: Illustrated Pamphlet: Help us stop them taking our children away
   Section E: Excerpts, Prisoners of Democracy: The Lil’wat Right to an Impartial Tribunal /
              Lyn Crompton
   Section F: The “Catch 22” of Seeking Domestic Remedies on Jurisdiction in Canadian Courts /
              Bruce Clark

APPENDIX IV: Reparations for Forced Assimilation, Including Indian Residential Schools
   Section A: Proposed Residential Schools Settlement Agreement/Court Approval Hearing /
              Written Objections / Lyn Crompton
Section B: Sweeping it under the (shag) Rug / Janice G.A.E. Switlo
Section C: Call for Reparations for the Lil’wat Nation / James Louie et al.
Section D: IHRAAM Intervention, May 2013, Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues
Section E: News articles and academic analyses re health and legalities
Section F: Letters from former Indian Residential School students

APPENDIX V: Refusing Indigenous Jurisdiction over Child and Family Law
Section A: The Fraudulent Canadian/BC Negotiations on Indigenous Jurisdiction over Families and Children
Section B: Social Well Being of Indigenous Children Placed in Foster Care in Canada: Statistics
Canadian First Nations Disproportionate Placement in Foster Care /
Human Rights Council Intervention August 23, 2011
Canada’s treatment of Native Children “Shoddy and Suspect” /

APPENDIX VI: Canadian “Success” - Indigenous Extinguishement
Section A: The BC Treaty Process: Dealings in Duress
Section B: The Sechelt Nation: “A Model for Self-Governance” or for Disinheritance?
Section C: Extinguishing the Sliammon: Selected Documents

APPENDIX VII: Seeking Judicial Recourse Through International Mechanisms
Section A: Support for the Lil’wat/IHRAAM oni Edmonds v. Canada, Petition to the
Inter-American Commission of Human Rights
Section B: The Ongoing Plight of Loni Edmonds
OVERVIEW OF PRESENTATION

THE INTERNATIONAL ILLEGALITY OF CANADA’S EXERCISE OF JURISDICTION
Canada has been controlling all political processes, laws and policies related to indigenous nations, actively and exclusively administering their every decision with the Indian Act. Often this is done with the enthusiastic cooperation of the elected “Band Chief and Council,” likewise an imposed Indian Act prescription, who are employees of the Canadian government and agents in right of Canada – rather than agents of their people’s self-determination. But neither British Columbia nor Canada have a legitimate basis under international law for the exercise of jurisdiction over indigenous nations in British Columbia, the vast majority of whom have no treaties with the British crown, nor with the successor state of Canada, surrendering their land and sovereignty.

APPENDIX I
Canada’s Jurisdiction Over Non-Treaty Indigenous Nations

Pitawanakwat extradition case, Oregon County, USA, 2000
Bruce Clark to White Bear re jurisdiction argument and disbarment
Ts’peten Reasons SCBC – Jurisdiction Argument Dismissed

ENCROACHMENT AND DESPOLIATION
Canada has assumed jurisdiction over indigenous resource exploitation, promoting resource extraction contracts of negligible benefit to the indigenous nations on whose territories this takes place. This has been accompanied by a significant despoliation and destruction not just of renewable and non-renewable resources, but of the sites and historic artifacts and records of indigenous history engraved on and celebrated in indigenous territories.

APPENDIX II
A Post-colonial timeline of the Pacific salmon fishery / Kerry Coast
Sacred sites and land heritage / Richard Mark Joseph, Shawe7
Letter to Mt. Currie Band Council, Lands and Resources Dept / Alvin Nelson, Wenemqen
Whistle Across, A Village Plundered Statimc Runner
The Right to Food / Intervention, May 2012, Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues
Investigating Traditional Foods, Nutritional Values / The St’at’imc Runner
Species at Risk within Lillooet River Watershed /
Plus Update
IHRAAM Intervention, February 2012, UN Human Rights Council, Enforced Non-development

A LEGACY OF RESISTANCE
The resistance of indigenous nations to Britain and then Canada’s illegal encroachment and unwarranted occupation has been consistent since it became clear that the colonial governments had no intention of policing their citizens against harming indigenous peoples and individuals or their possessions, nor of making respectful and mutual arrangements over land and resource possession. Colonial encroachment and occupation quickly threatened the viability and the integrity of the peoples. Resistance has taken the form of countless acts of a civil disobedience quality, as well as direct opposition to development which always led to many indigenous victims of non-native retaliation, including murder,
incarceration, intimidation, harassment and wanton and vengeful despoliation of cultural and fishing areas.

**APPENDIX III**

A Historical Review of Resistance by the Lil'wat, 1911-1987
Historical legal action taken by Lil’wat for peace and justice,
including Lil’wat Band Council Resolution November 9, 1989
Intervention at the UN Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues / James Louie
Illustrated Pamphlet: *Help us stop them taking our children away*
Excerpts, Prisoners of Democracy: The Lil’wat Right to an Impartial Tribunal
/ Lyn Crompton
The “Catch 22” of Seeking Domestic Remedies on Jurisdiction in Canadian Courts / Bruce Clark

**REPARATIONS FOR FORCED ASSIMILATION INCLUDING INDIAN RESIDENTIAL SCHOOLS**

For over a century, Canada seized all indigenous children, tearing each and every community apart – and because of this pivotal and sustained violence was it able to overcome indigenous resistance. Today, Canada’s Truth and Reconciliation Commission and the Indian Residential Schools Settlement Agreement have been promoted at home and around the world as Canada’s atonement for the genocidal impact of its Residential Schools System, which sought to expunge all traces of native culture (language, spirituality, socio-economic and political structures) from succeeding generations.

While Canada has offered (and indeed imposed) compensation to individual attendees in general, it has not addressed the genocidal impact of these policies upon the native nations as a whole, whose connection with their historical traditions and language has been severely impacted. Therefore the issue of reparations for the damages to the nations themselves remains outstanding. This is an issue IHRAAM intends to address in greater depth in future.

**APPENDIX IV:**

Proposed Residential Schools Settlement Agreement/Court Approval Hearing /
Written Objections / Lyn Crompton
Sweeping it under the (shag) Rug / Janice G.A.E. Switlo
Call for Reparations for the Lilw at Nation / James Louie et al.
IHRAAM Intervention, May 2013, Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues
News articles and academic analyses re health and legalities
Letters from former Indian Residential School students

**REFUSING INDIGENOUS JURISDICTION OVER CHILD AND FAMILY LAW**

British Columbia attempted to address the jurisdiction issue as it relates to children and families through a series of negotiations with First Nations, actually single communities of indigenous nations which are situated on an Indian Reserve, formerly “Indian Bands,” in BC via the BC Memorandum of Understanding of January 27, 2008. However these negotiations came to nothing, due to British Columbia’s refusal to surrender control over actual policies; their condition that First Nations release the federal government from its fiduciary obligations – with nothing more than a renewable five-year financial plan with the province; their failure to engage in an appropriate manner with the indigenous nations, and only pursue convenient service delivery boundaries which crossed languages and cultures. British Columbia continues instead its practice of seizing indigenous children in family circumstances which would be considered normal and acceptable in non-native families.
The Aboriginal Peoples’ Family Accord, as the above scheme was named, is one of seven “jurisdiction” arrangements sought by the federal government and identified clearly in its “Aboriginal Horizontal Framework.” The AHF was designed by the Treasury Board in the government’s most recent, but repetitive, reviews of spending on aboriginal people and strategies to procure surrenders.

APPENDIX V:
The Fraudulent Canadian/BC Negotiations on Indigenous Jurisdiction over Families and Children
Social Well Being of Indigenous Children Placed in Foster Care in Canada: Statistics
Canadian First Nations Disproportionate Placement in Foster Care /
Human Rights Council Intervention August 23, 2011
Canada’s treatment of Native Children “Shoddy and Suspect” /

CANADIAN “SUCCESS” - INDIGENOUS EXTINGUISHMENT
Canada continues to pursue the extinguishment of Indigenous Peoples and their attendant rights in the most insidious and brutal ways, from the time the first treaties by indigenous peoples to secure “Peace and Friendship” in the east were interpreted as land surrenders by Canada.

By refusing to agree that indigenous peoples can attain anything more than municipal status within BC, and demanding in exchange for that menial and insulting level of recognition the release of all claims against Canada, British Columbia, and anyone else, the ultimatum facing the indigenous is real. Indigenous people are criminalized for any use of their own lands and resources or territorial heritage, if their activity is not licensed or qualified by an extinguishment-affirming “Agreement” between the First Nation’s Band Council and British Columbia.

British Columbia celebrates and promotes those First Nations who accept the exhaustively defined corporate shareholder model of governance, called “First Nations self-government” in Canada, and provides momentary financial relief for those who release the government from any liability in the operation of hydroelectric facilities, logging or mining in their lands.

APPENDIX VI:
The BC Treaty Process: Dealings in Duress
The Sechelt Nation: “A Model for Self-Governance” or for Disinheritance?
Extinguishing the Sliammon: Selected Documents

SEEKING JUDICIAL RECOUSE THROUGH INTERNATIONAL MECHANISMS
Canada’s delegation to British Columbia of the duty to seize indigenous children from their families and communities as often as possible exemplifies the illegality and harmful effects of its exercise of jurisdiction, yet BC’s legal right to do so cannot be challenged in Canadian courts. Accordingly, the case of Líl’wat mother, Loni Edmonds, was brought to the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights in 2007. A synopsis of the IACHR case, Loni Edmonds vs. Canada P 879-07, is included here.

APPENDIX VII:
Synopsis, IACHR case, Loni Edmonds vs. Canada P 879-07,
Support for the Líl’wat/IHRAAM Petition
The Ongoing Plight of Loni Edmonds